

### Modeling the Baltic Sea biogeochemical and inorganic carbon systems

#### Moa Edman





### Why bother with biogeochemical modelling?

The marine inorganic carbon system is dependent on:

- Lateral forcing (river water, atmosphere...)
- Internal biogeochemical system (primary production, mineralization, oxygen conditions...)

Changes in the lateral forcing will change both of the above!

To model the effect on the acid-base balance from climate change realistically, the biogeochemical function in a model need to be able to change if the forcing does.





#### Previous sea surface pH results from the Baltic Proper







### Our ambition:

Capability to predict:

- Generalized biogeochemical formulation: The same constants, definitions and biogeochemical couplings will be applied in ALL Baltic Sea basins, during the ENTIRE simulated period. Here: 50 years in 13 basins
- Include deep water biogeochemical and carbon system dynamics

Validation:

• Validation in different climatic environments over the whole depth profile





## Validation to cover different chemical and climate regions



If the generalized formulation recreate the biogeochemical state of different regions it has the capability to predict!





#### Main biochemical assumptions

- Mineralization at the active sea-sediment boundary
- Mineralization rate set as 3.5 % of organic matter abundance
- 20% of organic carbon is never fully mineralized







#### Mineralization

Mineralization defined as:

 $M_{T} = M_{O2} + M_{NO3} + M_{SO4}$ 

Oxidation agents according to fixed redox sequence.







# Mean depth profiles for S and T (C°)







## Mean depth profiles for $PO_4$ and $NO_3$







# Mean depth profiles for $A_T$ and pH







### C<sub>T</sub> transects thru the Baltic Sea system



Horizontal differences in the Baltic Sea system are captured by the model



### Internal generation/depletion of total alkalinity







### Main A<sub>T</sub> generation/depletion assumptions:

- Sulphate reduction reversible reaction: No net  $A_T$  change
- Denitrification irreversible effect: Net  $A_T$  generation
- Other N-dynamics change  $A_T$  in the water column, but its an almost closed cycle of  $A_T$  generation and depletion. The sedimentation of organic matter will however shift reactions vertically. This include the process:
  - Primary production
  - Mineralization with O<sub>2</sub> as oxidation agent
  - Nitrification
  - Plankton respiration





## Vertical distribution of A<sub>T</sub> generation/depletion

Net generation: 90.4 Gmol/yr

Net depletion: 85.2 Gmol/yr

 $\rightarrow$  Net source of  $A_T$ 







### Modelled $A_T$ as a function of $O_2$







### Modelled $A_T$ and the $A_T$ bias due to internal $A_T$ dynamics







### Modelled pH and the pH bias due to internal $A_T$ dynamics







#### Issues:

- The insufficient knowledge of several biogeochemical processes (especially nutrients and complex forming metals) in the Gulf of Bothnia limit the ability to model these regions realistically.
- More extensive, and more reliable, carbon system lateral forcing data in the freshwater sources, preferably with seasonal resolution.





### Main conclusions:

- One generalized formulation can return several realistic biochemical situations, only through differences in forcing.
- Denitrification is the only A<sub>T</sub> generating process that is not chemically reversible, or reversible as a part of the constant cycling of organic matter, in the Baltic Sea system.
- The internal generation/depletion of total alkalinity is a net source of total alkalinity in the Gotland basin.





### Thank you for you attention!

Any questions or other input are most welcomed!







### Generation/Depletion:







### **Dimensionless quality metrics**

Black diamond - T White down arrow - S Black up arrow  $-O_2$  Black square –  $PO_4$ White left arrow –  $NO_3$  Black right arrow  $-A_T$ White circle -pH



 $\rightarrow$  Good agreement

- $\rightarrow$  Reasonable agreement
- $\rightarrow$  Not good enough

 $\rightarrow$  Strong correlation

 $\rightarrow$  Strong correlation





### The Carbon System: - Internal generation/depletion of $A_T$



The introduction of internal sinks and sources of total alkalinity change the acid-base balance





# The updated use of quality metrics

- AE Average error (bias)
- RMSE Root mean squared error
- Dimensionless:

The correlation coefficient: R

 $\rightarrow$  Do the model results and observations co vary?

The bias (M - D) to std (D) ratio: Bias/std

 $\rightarrow$  Are the model results within the std of observed data?





#### Main biochemical assumptions

- Two phytoplankton types:
  - Spring blooming plankton (Limited by N and P)
  - Cyano bacteria (Limited by P only)
- Composition of organic matter according to: (CH<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>53</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>28</sub>(NHCH<sub>2</sub>CO)<sub>12</sub>(CHPO<sub>4</sub>T) → composition ratio: -138:106:12:1
- Mineralization rate set as 3.5 % of each plankton type



